

A QUESTION ON INDISCERNIBLES

Martin Dowd

60 Mooring Ln.
Daly City, CA 94014, USA
e-mail: MartDowd@aol.com

Abstract: The question is considered, whether for some limit ordinal α , L_α has an infinite set of indiscernibles. This is true if α is an ω -Erdos cardinal. Whether the hypothesis can be weakened is a question of interest.

AMS Subj. Classification: 03e55

Key Words: indiscernibles

1. Introduction

Let II denote the statement: for some limit ordinal α , L_α has an infinite set of indiscernibles (ordinals equipped with their natural order). It is well-known that if there is an ω -Erdos cardinal (a cardinal κ such that $\kappa \rightarrow (\omega)^{<\omega}$) then II holds (see theorem 9.3 of [2]). In particular $\neg\text{II}$ is a very strong statement, implying that ω -Erdos cardinals do not exist.

It is a question of interest whether II be deduced from a weaker hypothesis than the existence of an ω -Erdos cardinal. It is also of interest what properties α must have for L_α to have indiscernibles.

It is also of interest whether II^L holds. Since $\alpha \mapsto L_\alpha$ and the satisfaction predicate are absolute, II^L holds iff there as a limit ordinal α and a set $I \in L$ such that I is a set of indiscernibles for L_α .

Theorem 1. *If II^L holds then II holds.*

Proof. This follows by the remarks preceding the theorem. \square

Since theorem 9.3 of [2] holds in L , II^L holds if there is an ω -Erdos cardinal in L , and this holds if there is an ω -Erdos cardinal (theorem 9.15 of [2]),

2. Basic facts

It is well-known (see [1]) that there is a collection of function definitions $\{h_\phi\}$ such that h_ϕ defines a Skolem function for ϕ in L_α for any limit ordinal α . The function defined in L_α will be denoted $h_\phi^{L_\alpha}$, or h_ϕ if there is no danger of confusion. The Skolem hull of $S \subseteq L_\alpha$ will always be taken using these functions, and denoted $H(S)$.

Let I be a set of indiscernibles for L_α . For $S \subseteq L_\alpha$ the transitive collapse of $H(S)$ is isomorphic to $L_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ for some $\tilde{\alpha}$; the composition $j : L_{\tilde{\alpha}} \mapsto L_\alpha$ of the isomorphism with inclusion is an elementary embedding. Consequently, $j^{-1}[S]$ is a set of indiscernibles for $L_{\tilde{\alpha}}$.

Theorem 2. *If II holds then there is a countable α such that L_α has an infinite set of indiscernibles I , and such that $L_\alpha = H(I)$.*

Proof. Let J be a set of indiscernibles for L_β . Let S be the first ω elements of J . Let L_α be the transitive collapse of $H(S)$. Let $I = j^{-1}[S]$. \square

Theorem 3. *If II holds then it is not provable in ZFC that II implies the existence of inaccessible cardinals.*

Proof. By theorem 2 and absoluteness, if II holds then it holds in V_κ where κ is the smallest inaccessible. If it were provable that II implied that an inaccessible cardinal existed, then an inaccessible cardinal would exist in V_κ , which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4. *If II^L holds then there is an $\alpha < \omega_1^L$ such that L_α has an infinite set of indiscernibles $I \in L$, and such that $L_\alpha = H(I)$.*

Proof. The proof of theorem 2 is an argument in ZFC. Note that by absoluteness $H(S)$ is the same in L and V . \square

Theorem 5. *If II^L holds then it is not provable in ZFC that II^L implies the existence of inaccessible cardinals in L .*

Proof. As in the proof of theorem 3, if II^L holds then it holds in L_κ where κ is the smallest inaccessible in L . \square

3. F_n -indiscernibles

Let F be the class of augmented formulas in the language of set theory expanded by symbols for the Skolem functions, where an augmented formula $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a formula ϕ together with a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of variables, which includes the free variables of ϕ . For $C \subseteq F$ and α a limit ordinal, a subset $I \subseteq \alpha$ is said to be a set of C -indiscernibles for L_α if for all $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$, and sequences $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_n$ and $\delta_1 < \dots < \delta_n$ of elements of I , $\models_{L_\alpha} \phi(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \Leftrightarrow \phi(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$. F -indiscernibles are called simply indiscernibles.

Let F_n denote the formulas of F , where the variable sequence has length at most n . For a cardinal κ and an integer n let $\text{IE}(\kappa, n)$ be defined by the recursion: $\text{IE}(\kappa, 0) = \kappa$, $\text{IE}(\kappa, n + 1) = 2^{\text{IE}(\kappa, n)}$.

Theorem 6. *For an integer $n > 0$, L_κ has a set of F_n -indiscernibles of order type $(2^{\aleph_0})^+$ where $\kappa = \text{IE}(\aleph_0, n)^+$.*

Proof. By the Erdos-Rado theorem (theorem 7.3 of [2]), $\kappa \rightarrow ((2^{\aleph_0})^+)^n_{2^{\aleph_0}}$. As in the proof of lemma 17.24 of [1], let $F : [\kappa]^n \mapsto \text{Pow}(F_n)$ be the function where $F(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \{\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_n : \models_{L_\kappa} \phi(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)\}$. There is a homogeneous set for this partition, and it is a set of indiscernibles as required. \square

4. Atomic formulas

Let A be the set of atomic formulas of F , and let A_n be the set of atomic formulas of F_n .

Theorem 7. *A set of A -indiscernibles for L_α is a set of F -indiscernibles. A set of A_n -indiscernibles for L_α is a set of F_n -indiscernibles.*

Proof. Let I be a set of A -indiscernibles. By induction on the formation of ϕ , I is a set of indiscernibles for ϕ . This follows by hypothesis for atomic formulas. The induction step for a propositional connective is straightforward. For $\phi = \exists y\psi(y, \vec{x})$, inductively I is a set of indiscernibles for $\psi(h_\psi(\vec{x}), \vec{x})$, and hence for ϕ . \square

Subsets of A lead to questions of interest. In particular, let E be the set of equations. It is of interest whether there is an L_α with an infinite set of E -indiscernibles, or whether the value of κ in theorem 6 can be improved for E_n -indiscernibles.

Let E_r be the equations $y = t(\vec{x})$, where in the variable sequence for this formula, y can occur at any point in \vec{x} .

Theorem 8. *I is a set of E_r -indiscernibles for L_α iff every formula of E_r has the value false at sequences from I . The same holds for E_{r_n} for $n \in \omega$.*

Proof. Suppose I is a set of E_r -indiscernibles. Let $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ be the variable list for $y = t$. Let $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$ be elements of I . It may be assumed that α_{i+1} is not the successor of α_i in the enumeration of I ; let β be the successor. If $y = t$ is true then $\beta = \alpha_i$, a contradiction. Hence $y = t$ is false. The converse implication is trivial. \square

The same questions can be asked for E_r as for E . Let E_{rl} be the equations of E_r , where y is at the end of the variable sequence.

Theorem 9. *L_{\aleph_1} has a set of E_{rl} -indiscernibles of order type \aleph_1 .*

Proof. Define the element i_β of I recursively as the least element which is not in the Skolem hull of $\{i_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$. \square

References

- [1] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Springer, Germany (2003).
- [2] A. Kanamori, *The Higher Infinite*, Springer-Verlag, Germany (2003).